

Johannes Hanika, Anton Kaplanyan, and Carsten Dachsbacher

Improved half vector space light transport



Motivation

rendering images with half vector space light transport [KHD14]



uneven exploration of certain flat areas *despite* explicit stratification!

Motivation

rendering images with half vector space light transport [KHD14]



HSLT is confused about highly displaced meshes!

Quick summary on Metropolis light transport

- Markov chain: sample tentative new state (path)
 - perturb current path
 - accept with certain probability to maintain detailed balance



Veach's Metropolis light transport

- collection of mutation strategies
- all converted into common measure (product vertex area measure) for comparison
- rely on small mutation steps to meet
 - BSDF constraints (angular, half vectors)
 - geometry constraints (vertex location, e.g. point on light source or key holes)

explicit control over these constraints?

Manifold exploration [JM12] and specular constraints

- two endpoints with specular hard constraints
- move end point, keep constraints satisfied





Half vector space light transport [KHD14]

- rough scattering: use soft constraints
- keep end points fixed, change half vectors





Rendered with Mitsuba

Half vector space light transport

change of domains, perform integration in different space:

product vertex area measure

$$I_j = \int_{\mathcal{P}} f(\boldsymbol{X}) d\boldsymbol{X}$$

$$\boldsymbol{X} = (\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_k)$$

 \triangleright half vector space (fixed end points x_0 and x_k and varying half vectors in between)



$$I_j = \int_{\Omega_h} f(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{H})) \left| \frac{d\boldsymbol{X}}{d\boldsymbol{H}} \right| d\boldsymbol{H}$$

$$\boldsymbol{H} = (\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{h}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{h}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_k)$$



Outline: improved half vector space light transport

- more natural space of constraints for half vectors
 - leads to more precise ray differentials
- support highly detailed displacement
 - new half vector multi chain perturbation on sub-paths
 - improves performance samples/second

Pixel stratification: ray differentials

- control image space stratification from half vector space!
- > ray differential matrices D_i^{-1} transport vertex offset Δx_{k-1} to half vectors h_i
- convert pixel footprint to half vector domain or vertex area at every vertex!
- derive pixel footprint based on geometry and BSDF scattering separately!



Ray differentials and measure spaces

- projected solid angle has a bounded domain, plane/plane is unbounded
- need to cut off step size (first-order prediction!) at boundary, resulting in unwanted anisotropy
- plane/plane much more stable at grazing angle and for large step sizes



Outline: improved half vector space light transport

- more natural space of constraints for half vectors
 - leads to more precise ray differentials
- support highly detailed displacement
 - new half vector multi chain perturbation on sub-paths
 - improves performance samples/second

Breaking up paths into segments (much the same as manifold exploration)

(x_a, ..., x_b): half vector multi chain perturbation (not half vector space, since end point moves!)
 (x_b, ..., x_c): connect through ``specular chain'' only that nothing is strictly diffuse or specular
 (x_c, ..., x₀): stays untouched



Half vector multi chain perturbation

- b do a pixel step, cast ray, perturb half vector, cast ray to resulting outgoing direction, iterate.
 - essentially a perturbation of outgoing solid angles
 - similar to Kelemen but in the Veach framework (half vector sampling is close to BSDF importance sampling)
 - similar to Veach's multi chain but using half vectors (respecting BSDFs angular bandwidth precisely)



Choice of breakup points *a*, *b*, *c*

- > a = kat the camera since we want to mutate the pixel coordinate
- b at a vertex with high roughness, so BSDF evaluation will give a good contribution

$$P(x_i = x_b) \sim \alpha_i$$

mix in full multi chain and full half vector space (and normalise):

$$P(x_0 = x_b) = P(x_k = x_b) \sim 0.1$$

c at the light source for minimum correlation, but closer to a and b is faster and did not lead to artefacts in our experiments:

$$P(x_i = x_c) \sim \alpha_i \cdot ||x_i - x_{i+1}||^2$$

squared distance to penalise degenerate geometry terms











Results: long glossy chains

- jewellery: difficult since no clear breakup point (all surfaces are glossy or specular)
- we detect this robustly
- > only moderately faster, since we choose full half vector space mutation most of the time



improved HSLT 40min 2067spp

HSLT 40min 1558spp

Limitations and Future Work

- still need smooth surfaces for effective exploration using geometrical constraint derivatives
- no simple extension to participating media
- our choice of breakup points is simplistic
 - not using any differential geometry information to find breakup points yet
 - sub-optimal but good enough and fast to evaluate? (proof us wrong!)

Conclusion

made half vector space light transport more robust

- more natural space of half vectors, Beckmann is a real Gaussian
- leads to better step sizes and more precise ray differentials
- better handling of displaced geometry
- depth of field (see paper)
- spectral/wavelength dependent (see paper)
- source code online: https://www.mitsuba-renderer.org/repos/mitsuba.git
 - still working on improving it though

thank you for listening!

backup slides

Half vector space measurement

simplified mesaurement with half vectors in projected solid angle [JM12, KHD14]

$$f(\mathbf{X}) \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{X} \\ d\mathbf{H}^{\perp} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{o}_{0}^{\perp} \\ d\mathbf{x}_{k} \end{vmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} f_{r}(\mathbf{i}_{i}, \mathbf{o}_{i}) \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{o}_{i} \\ d\mathbf{h}_{i} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \langle \mathbf{o}_{i}, \mathbf{n}_{i} \rangle \\ \mathbf{h}_{i}, \mathbf{n}_{i} \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$

in plane/plane:
$$\mathbf{transfer matrix} \qquad \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}) \begin{vmatrix} \frac{d\mathbf{o}_{0}^{\perp}}{d\mathbf{x}_{1}} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{x}_{1} \\ d\mathbf{x}_{k} \end{vmatrix} = G_{1} \cdot |T_{1}| \\ d\mathbf{H} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{x}_{k} \end{vmatrix} \left| \frac{1}{i=1} \int_{T} f(\mathbf{v}_{i}, \mathbf{v}_{i}) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{o}_{i} \\ d\mathbf{h}_{i} \end{vmatrix} |\langle \mathbf{o}_{i}, \mathbf{n}_{i} \rangle \langle \mathbf{h}_{i}, \mathbf{n}_{i} \rangle^{3}$$

b don't divide the cosine, more numerically stable!

Measurement for half vector multi chain perturbation

simplified mesaurement in half vector space

$$f(\mathbf{X}) \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{X} \\ d\mathbf{H} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{o}_0^{\perp} \\ d\mathbf{x}_k \end{vmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} f_r(\mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{o}_i) \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{o}_i \\ d\mathbf{h}_i \end{vmatrix} |\langle \mathbf{o}_i, \mathbf{n}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{n}_i \rangle^3 |$$
half vector multi chain:

$$f(\mathbf{X}) \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{X} \\ d\mathbf{H}^o \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} f_r(\mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{o}_i) \begin{vmatrix} d\mathbf{o}_i \\ d\mathbf{h}_i \end{vmatrix} |\langle \mathbf{o}_i, \mathbf{n}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{n}_i \rangle^3 |$$

difference: last vertex free to move, do not require generalised geometric term

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{o}_0^{\perp}}{d\boldsymbol{x}_k} = \left| \frac{d\boldsymbol{o}_0^{\perp}}{d\boldsymbol{x}_1} \right| \left| \frac{d\boldsymbol{x}_1}{d\boldsymbol{x}_k} \right| = G_1 \cdot |T_1|$$

- both only evaluated for the respective sub-path
- transition probability in half vector domain